# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Mario Cetina,<sup>a</sup> Draginja Mrvoš-Sermek,<sup>b</sup> Marijana Jukić<sup>c</sup> and Vladimir Rapić<sup>c</sup>\*

 <sup>a</sup>Faculty of Textile Technology, University of Zagreb, Pierottijeva 6, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia, <sup>b</sup>Laboratory of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Zvonimirova 8, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia, and <sup>c</sup>Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology, Pierottijeva 6, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Correspondence e-mail: vrapic@pbf.hr

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.036 wR factor = 0.099 Data-to-parameter ratio = 20.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. Methyl 3-ferrocenylpropanoate

The title compound,  $[Fe(C_5H_5)(C_9H_{11}O_2)]$ , was prepared and characterized by spectroscopic methods and crystal structure determination. The average values of the bond distances between the C atoms in the cyclopentadienyl rings are 1.422 (3) Å in the substituted and 1.404 (4) Å in the unsubstituted ring. The Fe–C bond distances range from 2.036 (2) to 2.061 (2) Å and the bond angles in both rings range from 107.1 (2) to 108.9 (2)°. The cyclopentadienyl rings are almost parallel and deviate only slightly from the eclipsed conformation. The molecules are connected into dimers by very weak C–H···O intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

Received 10 September 2002 Accepted 17 October 2002 Online 25 October 2002

Ferrocene compounds, part XXXII.

## Comment

The study of ferrocene compounds has led to important developments in the fields of catalysis and biocatalysis (Patti & Nicolosi, 2000), polymers (Gonsalves & Chen, 1995) and bioorganometallic chemistry (Severin *et al.*, 1998; Metzler-Nolte, 2001). Various functional groups on the cyclopentadienyl (Cp) rings influence the electronic properties of ferrocene derivatives and this leads to different applications of these complexes (Oberhoff *et al.*, 1996). The present study of methyl 3-ferrocenylpropanoate, (I), forms part of wider research on differently substituted ferrocene compounds, their synthesis, stereochemistry and structural analysis (Zorić *et al.*, 1999; Lapić & Rapić, 2000; Barišić *et al.*, 2002; Cetina *et al.*, 2002).



The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The distances between the C atoms in the Cp rings are greater in the substituted ring (C1–C5) than in the unsubstituted ring (C6–C10), with average values of 1.422 (3) and 1.404 (4) Å, respectively (Table 1). The Fe–C bond distances range from 2.036 (2) to 2.061 (2) Å. The longest Fe–C distance is Fe–C1, as was generally observed in monosubstituted ferrocene derivatives with the isolated  $\pi$ -electron system (Allen & Kennard, 1993). The values of the bond angles in the Cp rings range from 107.1 (2) to 108.9 (2)° (Table 1). The bond distances in the ferrocenel with those found in other ferrocenes having a methylcarboxylate group on one of the rings (Luo *et* 

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

View of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 20% probability level.



Figure 2 Crystal-packing diagram of (I).

al., 1990; Podlaha et al., 1996; Hobi et al., 1997; Beck et al., 2001; Costa et al., 2001). The geometry of the title compound is also in a good agreement with the structure of 3-ferrocenylpropanoic acid (Cetina et al., 2002). In these two structures, a difference in the orientation of the Cp rings was observed; in the title compound they deviate only slightly from the eclipsed conformation. The values of the corresponding pseudo-torsion angles defined by joining two eclipsing Cp C atoms through the ring centroids (C $-Cp_1-Cp_2-C$ ;  $Cp_1$  and  $Cp_1$  are the Cp ring centroids) are in the range 1.9 (2)-2.6 (2)°. In the structure of 3-ferrocenylpropanoic acid, the Cp rings are twisted from the eclipsed conformation by 8.3 (2) $^{\circ}$  (average value). The Cp rings are almost parallel [dihedral angle 1.3  $(1)^{\circ}$ ] and the largest observed deviation of the C atoms from their mean planes is 0.001 (3) Å. Furthermore, the Fe atom is almost equidistant from the centroids of the Cp rings  $[Fe-Cp_1 =$ 1.651 (1) Å and Fe $-Cp_2 = 1.655$  (1) Å] and the angle defined by the ring centroids and the Fe atom deviates very slightly from  $180^{\circ}$  [ $Cp_1$ -Fe- $Cp_2 = 178.9 (1)^{\circ}$ ].

Atom C1 of the ferrocenyl skeleton and atom C13 of the methoxycarbonyl group are antiperiplanar, as are atom C14 of the methyl group and atom C12 of the ferrocenylethyl skeleton. The values of the corresponding torsion angles C1-C11-C12-C13 and C14-O2-C13-C12 are -178.7 (2) and -178.8 (2)°, respectively. The plane defined by the atoms C12, C13, O1 and O2 is more twisted with respect to the substituted Cp ring [dihedral angle 19.4  $(1)^{\circ}$ ], compared to the situation in 3-ferrocenylpropanoic acid, where they are nearly coplanar. The same plane is also parallel with the shortest crystallographic axis b [dihedral angle  $0.5 (1)^{\circ}$ ].

The molecules are connected by a very weak intermolecular hydrogen bond C6-H6···O1<sup>i</sup> [symmetry code: (i) -x, +y,  $\frac{1}{2}-z$ ], forming dimers (Fig. 2). The  $D \cdots A$  and  $H \cdots A$ distances are 3.433 (3) and 2.51 Å, respectively, and the D- $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$  angle is 170°. A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen & Kennard, 1993) lists three structures of ferrocene derivatives with the same type of C(Cp)- $H \cdots O(=C)$  hydrogen-bonded dimers (Kałuski *et al.*, 1979; Abrán et al., 1999; Ansorge et al., 2000).

## **Experimental**

The title compound (Roberts et al., 1967) was prepared by refluxing a methanolic solution of 3-ferrocenylpropanoic acid (Hauser & Lindsay, 1957; Cetina et al., 2002) in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub> in diethyl ether. After purification on silica-gel plates (Merck, Kieselgel 60 HF<sub>254</sub>), using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as eluent, an 87% yield of orange crystals was obtained [m.p. 310-311 K; literature m.p. 309-310 K (Roberts et al., 1967)]. The single crystal used for analysis was obtained by slow evaporation from a cyclohexane solution at room temperature. IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, *v*): 3099 *w* (C-H) ferrocene; 2924 *w* (C-H) aliphatic; 1732 (s, C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.): 4.07 (d, 9H, ferrocene), 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.65 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>CO), 2.54 (t, 2H, FcCH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, p.p.m.): 173.72 (C=O), 87.23, 68.32, 67.70 (substituted ferrocene ring), 67.18, 60.16 (unsubstituted ferrocene ring), 51.37 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 35.22 (FcCH<sub>2</sub>), 24.58 (CH<sub>2</sub>). The melting point was determined using a Buechi apparatus, The IR spectrum was recorded on a Bomen MB100 Mid FT-IR spectrophotometer and the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer, with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard.

#### Crystal data

$[Fe(C_{2}H_{2})(C_{2}H_{1},O_{2})]$	$D = 1.464 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
$M_r = 272.12$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Cell parameters from	
a = 29.425 (9)  Å	reflections	
b = 6.1454 (9) Å	$\theta = 6.2 - 13.3^{\circ}$	
c = 13.739 (2) Å	$\mu = 1.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	
$\beta = 96.38(1)^{\circ}$	T = 295 (2)  K	
V = 2469.0 (9) Å <sup>3</sup>	Prism, orange	
Z = 8	$0.90 \times 0.75 \times 0.60 \text{ mm}$	

#### Data collection

Philips PW1100 diffractometer updated by Stoe (i) scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan (North et al., 1968)  $T_{\rm min}=0.306,\ T_{\rm max}=0.502$ 3235 measured reflections 3235 independent reflections 2526 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$  $wR(F^2) = 0.099$ S = 1.073235 reflections 155 parameters H-atom parameters constrained 28 m

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.016$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.9^\circ$  $h = -40 \rightarrow 39$  $k = 0 \rightarrow 8$  $l = 0 \rightarrow 18$ 4 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: 2.4%

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0571P)^2]$ + 0.5699P] where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.36 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL97 Extinction coefficient: 0.0027 (4)

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

C - C(Cp)(av.)subst.	1.421 (3)	O2-C14	1.441 (2)
C-C(Cp)(av.)unsubst.	1.404 (4)	C1-C11	1.505 (3)
O1-C13	1.197 (2)	C11-C12	1.510 (3)
O2-C13	1.338 (2)	C12-C13	1.500 (3)
C13-O2-C14	116.83 (17)	C6-C7-C8	107.7 (2)
C2-C1-C5	107.09 (18)	C9-C8-C7	107.7 (2)
C2-C1-C11	127.90 (19)	C10-C9-C8	108.3 (2)
C5-C1-C11	124.97 (18)	C9-C10-C6	108.6 (2)
C1-C2-C3	108.3 (2)	C1-C11-C12	113.53 (16)
C4-C3-C2	107.94 (19)	C13-C12-C11	114.07 (16)
C3-C4-C5	107.83 (19)	O1-C13-O2	123.16 (19)
C1-C5-C4	108.87 (19)	O1-C13-C12	126.48 (18)
C10-C6-C7	107.8 (2)	O2-C13-C12	110.35 (16)

All H atoms were included in calculated positions as riding atoms, with *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997) defaults.

Data collection: *STADI*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1995); cell refinement: *STADI*4; data reduction: *X-RED* (Stoe & Cie, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

### References

Abrán, Á., Csámpai, A., Böcskei, Zs. & Sohár, P. (1999). Tetrahedron, 55, 5441–5448.

- Allen, F. H. & Kennard, O. (1993). Chem. Des. Autom. News, 8, 1, 31-37.
- Ansorge, M., Polborn, K. & Müller, T. J. J. (2000). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 2003–2009.

- Barišić, L., Rapić, V. & Kovač, V. (2002). Croat. Chem. Acta, 75, 199-210.
- Beck, W., Woisetschlager, O. E. & Mayer, P. (2001). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 216, 403–404.
- Cetina, M., Hergold-Brundić, A., Nagl, A., Jukić, M. & Rapić, V. (2002). *Struct. Chem.* In the press.
- Costa, M., Dalcanale, E., Dias, F. S., Graiff, C., Tiripicchio, A. & Bigliardi, L. (2001). J. Organomet. Chem. 619, 179–193.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Gonsalves, K. E. & Chen, X. (1995). *Ferrocenes*, edited by A. Togni and T. Hayashi, ch. 10, pp. 497–527. Weinheim: VCH.
- Hauser, C. R. & Lindsay, J. K. (1957). J. Org. Chem. 22, 1246-1247.
- Hobi, M., Ruppert, O., Gramlich, V. & Togni, A. (1997). Organometallics, 16, 1384–1391.
- Kałuski, Z., Skrzypczak-Jankun, E. & Cygler, M. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 2699–2702.
- Lapić, J. & Rapić, V. (2000). Croat. Chem. Acta, 73, 755-771.
- Luo, Y., Barton, R. J. & Robertson, B. E. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 1388–1391. Metzler-Nolte, N. (2001). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 40, 1040–1043.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Oberhoff, M., Duda, L., Karl, J., Mohr, R., Erker, G., Frohlich, R. & Grehl, M. (1996). *Organometallics*, **15**, 4005–4011.
- Patti, A. & Nicolosi, G. (2000). Tetrahedron Asymmetry, 11, 815-822.
- Pavlović, G., Barišić, L., Rapić, V. & Leban, I. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m13– m15.
- Podlaha, J., Štěpnička, P., Ludvík, J. & Císařová, I. (1996). Organometallics, 15, 543–550.
- Roberts, P. T., Little, W. F. & Bursey, M. M. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 6158–6164.
- Severin, K., Bergs, R. & Beck, W. (1998). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 1634–1654.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1995). Stadi4 and X-RED. Versions 1.05B. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Zorić, Z., Rapić, V., Lisac, S. & Jukić, M. (1999). J. Polym. Sci. A: Polym. Chem. 37, 25-36.